

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/720,633	11/24/2003	Mahesh Rajagopalan	03-1021	4254
	7590 01/29/201 GAL DEPARTMENT	EXAMINER		
	NAGEMENT GROUP	GAY, SONIA L		
9TH FLOOR	RTHOUSE ROAD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	VA 22201-2525	2614		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/29/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patents@VERIZON.COM

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/720,633	RAJAGOPALAN ET AL.			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	SONIA GAY	2614			

	SONIA GAY	2614						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 19 January 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.						
I. Me The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 3 T CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
	-							
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In or event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a) The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.138(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled it be date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee have been filled it is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee hourself of the propriate extension of the feet of the propriate extension of the pr								
NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);								
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			ne issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 								
	7. ☐ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) (or will be as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-14.17-31.33.34.36-39.52-65.68 - 82 8</u>	34,85,87-90,103,104,106,107,109,1	<u>12,113,115-128</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
D. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal analor appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 43(0)(1).								
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. \(\begin{align*}\) The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.								
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☐ Other:								
/Ahmad F Matar/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2614	/Sonia Gay/ Examiner, Art Unit 2614							

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant amended claims 1, 52, 103, 106, 109, and 112 by adding the limitation "sending contact information identifying a device associated with the calling party to the preferred device of the user being contacted by the calling party. The addition of this new limitation," contact information identifying a device associated with calling party," requires further consideration and a new search. Applicant amended claims 17, 68, 104, 107, and 113 by adding the limitation "routing the communication to the preferred device of the user, when the preferred device of the user accepts the data format of the device used by the calling party to initiate the communication". The addition of this new limitation also requires further consideration and a new search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are based on the proposed amendments for claims 1, 52, 103, 106, 109, 112 discussed above in part 3. Since the amendments raise new issues and have not been entered.